

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	•	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
08/903,	677 077:	31/97 HANSON		·			

QM31/0901

KÄRL G'HANSON 3M OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL P 0. BOX 33427

ST PAUL MN 55133-3427

EXAMINER NGUYEN, D

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/01/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office	Action	Summary
--------	--------	---------

Application No. **08/903,677**

Applicant(s)

Hanson

Unice Action Summary		Examiner Group Art Unit						
	•	Dinh Nguyen	3738					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			, some state and the second se				
	☐ This action is FINAL .							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
	A shortened statutory period for response to this action is s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1 136(a).	re to respond within the period fo	r response will car	use the				
	Disposition of Claim							
			is/are pend	ling in the applicat				
	Of the above, claim(s)		•	•				
	☐ Claim(s)		is/are	a allowed				
	M X Claim(s) 1 - 1 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/ard	e allowed.				
14	✓ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>		is/are					
	· ·			e objected to.				
	Claims	are subject	to restriction or el	ection requirement.				
	Application Papers							
	☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a							
	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is	_disapproved.					
	 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	,	•					
١	·	эг.						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio ☐ All ☐ Some* None of the CERTIFIED conie 			•				
	☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copie ☐ received.	es of the priority documents have	been					
l	☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Seria	al Number)						
	received in this national stage application from		· Pule 17 2(a)\					
	*Certified copies not received:		(dic 17.2(a)).					
l	☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr			·				
	Attachment(s)							
	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s)						
	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413							
l	☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC	D-948						
	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
		•		:				
	·	•						
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES							
_				,¹ , ¹				



Application/Control Number: 08/903,677

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As to the specification, Applicant has given no proof that the method as claimed would prevent "chest pain". Even though Applicant may attest that Applicant has received "beneficial effects" from drinking a large quantity of lime juice, this is not evidence in a scientific qualitative sense that ingesting a large quantity of lime juice or vitamin C would prevent any medically defined ailments. As noted in the top of page 1 of the specification, "symptoms [of chest pains] are most often induced by some physical or emotional stress ...". Any psychological effects from drinking lime juice, without physical proof of relief from ailments, will not be considered to be operative in a medically accepted and patentable method of treating a disease or the like. In fact, it is well known that "placebo effects" are common in individuals who believe that certain "medication" have alleviated their medical ailments, while in reality such "medication" were inactive and while in reality, placebos given to them instead. Applicant's condition as described in the specification, may be due to the wide belief that vitamin C, and related sources such as orange

Application/Control Number: 08/903,677

Art Unit: 3738

juice, are good for the body. In fact, it has been shown that excessive vitamin C may damage the body to a certain extent.

Lastly, Applicant has not shown proof of what is considered the "active ingredients" as briefly discussed at the bottom of page 3 of Applicant's specification, or the "effective amount" as claimed in the claim language. Absent a showing of scientifically and reliable proof that the claimed method works as to the treatment of "chest pains", the present disclosure by Applicant is considered non-operative and non-enablement.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. See the above paragraphs for details. Additionally, the following applies to individual claims.

As to claim 1, at line 4, it is not clear what "effective amount" consists of for the treatment as claimed.

As to claims 15 and 16, it is not clear what the "active ingredients" are for the treatment as claimed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 3738

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Singh et al., Langtry et al., Riemersma et al., or Dapcich-Miura et al. Applicant has merely claimed a method of treating "chest pain" by taking lime juice. The above references all disclose a method of treating angina (medical terminology for a particular type of "chest pain") or related "chest pain" by taking vitamins, i.e., vitamin C, or fruit juices. Since Applicant has not shown the particular advantages over taking lime juice over other juices which contain vitamin C, and related vitamins and chemical composition, the use of lime juice is equated with the use of other citrus juices. Further, Applicant has not shown what is considered the "effective" substance and "effective" dosages of the juice in treating chest pain, the differences in dosage is treated as a "design choice" similar in the way a person increase or decrease medical dosages depending on the severity of the medical condition. Therefore, although the above cited references do not disclose the exact dosage of juice to take, it is inherent that the "effective" dosage or the dosage as claimed by Applicant is disclosed. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have altered the dosage to be as

Art Unit: 3738

medical condition.

such claimed by Applicant, because this is a mere "design choice" depending on the severity of the

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3522.

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

August 28, 1998